WRIA 13 SALMON RECOVERY FUNDING BOARD SCORING AND RANKING PROCEDURE 2001-2002

WRIA 13 SCORING AND RANKING PROCEDURE 2001-2002

PROJECT RANKING PROCESS

All project ranking must be complete by November 30, 2001. The following details the committee process:

- 1. Citizen and Technical committees receive copies of project applications.
- 2. Both committees hear project presentations from project sponsors.
- 3. Both committees view video of project sites.
- 4. Technical Committee performs their project scoring. The Technical Committee uses the formula (below) that is based on benefit to salmonids. The committee will engage in round-table discussions before each member scores projects individually. Scores from each of the committee members will be averaged to determine the final scores and ranking that will be forwarded to the Citizen committee.
- 5. Technical Committee brings their scoring and ranking of projects to Citizen Committee.
- 6. Technical Committee and Citizen Committee discuss the scores and ranking of projects done by the Technical Committee. The two committees in concert will endorse or revise ranking to incorporate the other perspectives and social/political considerations in the watershed. The committees will document the rationale for any changes to the rankings of the projects performed by the Technical Committee.
- 7. The list of ranked projects will be submitted to the SRFB.

PROJECT SCORING

While an overriding conceptual framework is paramount to any salmon recovery strategy, some mechanism must also exist by which specific projects can be evaluated for their adequacy in meeting the salmon recovery objectives of the WRIA outlined *in* the strategy. Potential projects to be submitted for SRFB funding in WRIA 13 will therefore be examined for the anticipated salmonid response after the project has been implemented.

Briefly, the scoring methodology the Committees use addresses the 5 elements from the WRIA 13 Salmon Recovery Strategy:

1. Sub-watershed prioritization within the WRIA (THE GEOGRAPHIC IMPORTANCE of the sub-basin where the project would occur). See Section 5.1.2.

This is addressed as Weighting Factor 1.

- IDENTIFICATION OF ACTION RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PREVIOUS STUDIES (how the project is rated as a key concern in its sub-basin) See Section 5.1.3.
 This is addressed as Weighting Factor 2.
- 3. **BIOLOGICAL FUNCTIONALITY IN THE WRIA.** See Section 5.1.4. This is addressed in the scoring for 30 questions on Table 1.
- **4. COMMUNITY SUPPORT.** See Section 5.1.5. This is addressed in the Citizen Committee review of projects.
- **5. Species priorities.** See Section 5.1.6. This is addressed in the scoring for each species for the questions on Table 1.

In addition, other elements are considered which consider the potential for success of the project (Certainty of Success and Functional Connectivity).

As can be surmised, high scoring projects will be interpreted through this scoring methodology to increase production of the WRIA 13 priority species significantly more than lower scoring projects.

Table 1 details the scoring template that will be used when examining projects for their biological functionality in supporting WRIA 13 priority species.

Each project proposal will be evaluated through the scoring template and a total score obtained from the following equation:

Total Project Score = (SS) \times (WF1) \times (WF2) \times (WF3) \times (WF4)

SS = sum of scores for each question (#1-30) on Table 1.

In addition to the biological functionality addressed by the questions in the project-scoring template (Table 1), the scores for each question reflect the species priority strategy for salmon recovery in WRIA 13.

Questions on Table 1 are answered Yes/No, then partial scores are assigned to indicate the <u>extent</u> to which function is provided.

The maximum scores for each species are:

Chum: 5
Coho: 5
Steelhead: 5
Cutthroat trout: 5
Chinook salmon: 3

WF 1 = Weighting Factor 1: Sub-watershed location

These geographic groupings reflect sub-watersheds and near-shore habitats, which exhibit certain characteristics (e.g. includes: resemble natural, fully functional aquatic ecosystems or support important native and/or wild salmonid populations, or overall productivity potential and importance for overall stock survival is high for these areas).

Group A sub-watersheds: WF 1 = 1.0 (Near-Shore, McLane, Deschutes mainstem and tributaries, Green Cove)

Group B sub-watersheds: WF 1 = .75 (Woodard and Woodland, Percival/Black Ditch,)

Group C sub-watersheds: WF 1 = .5 (all others)

WF 2 = Weighting Factor 2: How project addresses Prioritized Action Recommendations identified in studies of WRIA 13.

This weighting factor reflects how the project is rated as a key concern in its subbasin by the best science/knowledge available at this time. Appendix A lists some of the studies that have been completed in WRIA 13 which identify key concerns.

High prioritization: WF 2 = 1.0 Medium prioritization: WF 2 = .8

Not identified as a key need: WF 2 = .6

WF 3 = Weighting Factor 3: Certainty of success

This weighting factor addresses:

- The scientific basis for success of this project type.
- The potential for the project sponsor to complete the project (includes such considerations as: whether the project can be completed at reasonable cost, within guidelines established, and/or whether necessary partnerships and other requirements are established to proceed with the project)

High certainty WF 3 = 1.0 Medium certainty WF 3 = .9 Low certainty WF 3 = .5

WF 4 = Weighting Factor 4:Functional Connectivity.

This weighting factor addresses whether the project will provide a linkage to currently functioning habitats, and/or habitat restoration/protection recently completed or in progress.

If the project has the above attributes: WF 4 = 1.1

If the project does not have any of the above attributes: WF 4 = 1.0

TABLE 1. PROJECT SCORING TEMPLATE WRIA 13

HABITAT PATHWAY INDICATOR				STEELHEAD	СНПМ	СОТТНКОАТ	TOTAL
Hydrology							
1	project protects/preserves perennial stream or spring flows						
2	project restores perennial stream or spring flows (e.g., via water right trade)						
3	project functionally assesses spring or stream flows/velocity profiles (e.g., IFIM)						
4	project protects/restores dendritic channel network/hydrology in nearshore habitat						
5	project protects/restores channel morphology in stream channel						
Water Quality	Water Quality						
6	project protects/preserves estuarine mixing to provide for range of salinities						
7	project restores estuarine mixing to provide for range of salinities						
8	project would protect against water temperature increase						
9	project would restore habitat to yield lower temperatures over time						
10	project would restore natural nutrient levels						
11	project would assess water quality						
Habitat Quali	Habitat Quality						
12	project protects or promotes LWD retention						
13	project restores LWD densities in area where natural retention should exist						
14	project assesses LWD loading on basis of geomorphic constraints of stream						
15	project protects against spawning gravel scouring and/or embedding						
16	project restores spawning gravels to area where natural retention should exist						
17	project assesses spawning gravels						

HABITAT PATHWAY INDICATOR				STEELHEAD	СНПМ	СUTTHROAT	TOTAL
18	project protects/preserves erosion prone shoreline habitat (without armoring)						
19	project restores or stabilizes erosion-prone shoreline habitat (by natural means)						
20	project restores/protects near shore habitat used by prey species of salmonids						
21	project restores/protects near-shore substrate composition						
Habitat Acces	ss						
22	project protects juvenile and adult habitat access under all flows						
23	project restores juvenile access under high/mean/low flows						
24	project restores adult access under high/mean/low flows						
25	project assesses juvenile and adult habitat access						
Floodplain Co	onnectivity						
26	project protects floodplain connectivity (e.g., acquisition of property in a CMZ)						
27	project restores floodplain connectivity (e.g., dike breaching)						
28	project assesses floodplain connectivity						
29	project protects riparian corridor						
30	project restores riparian corridor function						
31	project assesses riparian corridor function						
Grand Total							

APPENDIX A

Studies that have been completed in WRIA 13, which identify key concerns in the sub-basins studied:

- 1. Limiting Factors Analysis (WSCC, 1999)
- 2. Percival Creek Habitat Assessment (TCD, 2000)
- 3. McLane Creek Habitat Assessment (TCD, 2000)
- 4. Spurgeon Creek Fish Passage Barrier Assessment (TCD, 1999)
- 5. Private Lands Culvert Assessment (Thurston Conservation District, 2000)
- 6. WRIA 13 Refugia Study (TCD, 2000)
- 7. Thurston County Water Resources Monitoring Reports (Thurston County, Multi-Year)

TABLE 2 below is a composite of a prioritization of Limiting Factors Analysis findings, along with other studies completed within WRIA 13.

TABLE 2

WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin			
R-Restoration	on, P-Prote	ction/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap	
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin	
		Estuarine and Nearshore*	
High	DG	Conduct estuarine and nearshore assessment to identify priority habitat for protection and restoration (DG)	
Medium	DG	Assess impacts of log rafting on estuarine habitat	
Medium	DG	Assess impacts of heavy metals in sediments at the historic mothballed fleet site	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	
		Danahutan Diyar	
		Deschutes River	
		(Deschutes, 13.0032, Chambers, 13.0034, 13.0036, Spurgeon, Offut Lake Outlet, Silver Springs, 13.0042,	
		13.0045, Reichel, 13.0047, Pipeline, 13.0052, Fall, 13.0066,	
		Mitchell, Huckleberry, Johnson, Thurston, 13.0102.	
High	R	Fix the Capitol Lake tide gate and fishway to allow adult fish passage at all tidal stages and lake levels	
High	R, P	Protect and enhance high priority off-channel habitat	

WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin				
D Postoration	n D Broto	ction/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap		
N-Nestoration	ii, F-Fiole	Chon/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap		
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin		
High	R	Develop and implement a short term LWD strategy.		
Medium	DG, R	Address fine sediment and water quality problems in the lower river		
High	DG	Conduct a Watershed Analysis in the upper watershed with particular focus on slope stability, road impacts and culverts		
High	R	Restore riparian function (conifer, site potential tree height) throughout the watershed, including protection of the channel migration zone		
High	P, R	Ensure that any bank protection projects maintain/restore channel and riparian functions		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		McLane Creek Watershed		
		(McLane, Swift, Perkins, Cedar Flats, 13.0142, Beatty)		
High	R	Restore riparian function to address temperature and LWD concerns		
Medium	R	Develop and implement short term LWD strategy with emphasis on key pieces		
Medium	DG, R	Identify and implement actions necessary to address fine sediment concerns		
Medium	DG, R	Identify and prioritize fish passage barriers and correct if deemed necessary		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Green Cove Creek		
High	Р	Protect sensitive areas through purchase, conservation easements, or other non-regulatory or regulatory actions		
High	R	Prioritize and restore functional riparian buffers throughout the drainage		
Medium	R	Develop and implement short term LWD strategy.		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Percival Creek		
		(Percival, Black Lake Ditch)		

WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin				
R-Restoration, P-Protection/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap				
K-Restoratio	on, P-Protec	ction/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap		
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin		
High	R	Address existing alterations to natural hydrology from stormwater runoff		
High	R	Fix Capitol Lake tide gate and fishway to allow adult fish passage at all tidal stages and lake levels.		
High	DG, R	Identify and correct adverse impacts to naturally produced adult and juvenile salmonids resulting from the Percival Cove screen		
Medium	DG	Evaluate condition and production/restoration potential of instream habitat in upper watershed.		
Medium	R, P	Protect riparian zones that are currently in good condition and restore riparian function in areas that have been degraded.		
Medium	R	Replacement of Sapp Rd. Culvert		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Woodland Creek		
		(Woodland, Fox Hollow, Jorgenson, Fox, Eagle)		
High	R	Address existing alterations to natural hydrology from stormwater runoff		
High	R	Prioritize and correct fish passage barriers		
Medium	R	Identify and correct sites with unrestricted livestock access to the channel.		
High	DG, R	Restore riparian function throughout watershed		
Medium	DG	Evaluate fine sediment impacts and develop plan to restore substrate function if needed.		
Medium	R	Develop and implement short term LWD strategy.		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Woodard Creek		
High	R	Address existing alterations to natural hydrology from stormwater runoff		
High	R	Identify and correct sites with unrestricted livestock access to the channel.		
High	R	Preserve and restore headwater wetlands		
High	DG, R	Restore riparian function throughout watershed		

WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin			
D Doctoratio	n D Droto	ction/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap	
K-Residialio	ni, P-Piole	Liton/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap	
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin	
Medium	DG	Evaluate fine sediment impacts and develop plan to restore substrate function if needed.	
Medium	R	Develop and implement short term LWD strategy.	
Medium	R	Prioritize and correct fish passage barriers	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	
		Ellis Creek	
High	DG	Complete feasibility study for removal of fish passage barriers.	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	
		Indian Creek	
		(Indian & Moxlie)	
High	DG	Evaluate production potential of streams in current and restored conditions.	
High	R	Prioritize and correct fish passage barriers	
Medium	DG, R	Identify and correct water quality problem sources	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	
		Mill Bight (13.0001)	
Medium	DG	Assess stock status and distribution	
Medium	DG	Conduct habitat survey, including assessment of suitable habitat upstream of barrier culvert at 78th Ave. NE	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	
		Dobbs Creek	
Medium	DG	Assess stock status and distribution	
Medium	DG	Conduct habitat survey	
Medium	DG, R	Identify and correct water quality problems	
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat	

WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin				
R-Restoration, P-Protection/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap				
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin		
		Sleepy Creek (Libbey Creek)		
Medium	DG	Assess stock status and distribution		
Medium	DG	Conduct habitat survey		
Medium	DG, R	Identify and correct water quality problems		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Fish Tran		
High	DG	Fish Trap Assess salmon benefit for replacement of culvert on 81st Ave. NE		
Medium	R	Fix culvert if deemed beneficial		
Medium	DG	Assess stock status		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Adams Creek		
		(Adams & 13.0021)		
High	R	Prioritize and correct identified fish passage barriers		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
LP - L	Б	Mission Creek		
High	R	Prioritize and correct identified fish passage barriers		
Medium	R	Restore functional riparian buffers upstream of Priest Point Park		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Schneider Creek		
High	R	Restore and maintain functional riparian buffers, including increasing conifer cover		
High	DG, R	Identify and implement actions to address fine sediment concerns		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		

WRIA	WRIA 13 Salmonid Habitat Action Recommendations by Sub-basin			
R-Restoration	n, P-Prote	ction/Acquisition, DG-Data Gap		
Project Priority within sub- basin	Project Category	Priority Projects within Sub-basin		
		Unnamed 13.0135		
Medium	DG	Assess stock status and distribution		
Medium	DG	Conduct habitat survey		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Houston Creek		
Medium	DG	Assess stock status and distribution		
Medium	DG	Conduct habitat survey		
High	Р	Protection through acquisition/easement of high quality habitat		
		Cross-watershed		
Medium	DG	Assess the status and distribution of Cutthroat.		
High	DG	Conduct inventory of culverts and other potential fish passage barriers on private lands (inventory already complete for County and State roads		
Medium	DG	Conduct comprehensive assessment of riparian condition throughout WRIA 13		

^{*}Nearshore and estuarine habitats affect not only all of the species present in WRIA 13, but also the regional populations in South Puget Sound. It is a high priority to conduct a nearshore assessment to identify and prioritize future marine acquisition and restoration projects.

NOTE: By their very nature, action recommendations that would have a low benefit to salmonids were not identified in the Habitat Limiting Factors Analysis report for WRIA 13